

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,464	08/21/2003	Robert Wakley	23-0282	7995
40158	7590 03/01/2005		EXAM	INER
	& PROEHL, PROF. L.L.		PHAN, H.	AU VAN
3500 SOUTH SUITE 250	FIRST AVENUE CIRCLE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
• • • • • • • •	S, SD 57105		3618	
			D . TE . (. H ED . 02/01/000	•

DATE MAILED: 03/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22113-1450
away mpm.gov

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED OCT 6 2004 GROUP 3600

<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•		
Office Action Summany	10/645,464	WAKLEY, ROBERT
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hau V Phan	3618
- The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sneet v	mn me correspondence address –
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of a lif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum status. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term edjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a icetion. 18ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of the cory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MC to be come a conscalion to become a	reply be timely filed inty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>21 August 2003</u> .	
· — ·) This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition fo	r allowance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the ap	plication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are		•
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 21 August 200	3 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any object		
		ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:		. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority of 2. Certified copies of the priority of		Application No.
3. Coples of the certified copies o		
application from the Internation		en received in this realional diage
* See the attached detailed Office action		ot received
See the attached detailed Onice action	Tot a list of the certified copies in	orreceived.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	,	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)Mzil Date
2) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Orawing Review (PT 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/21/2003</u> .		of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

. Application/Control Number: 10/645,464

Art Unit: 3618

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 8/21/2003 has been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the Invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cook (6,270,096).

Cook in figure 1, discloses an inline skateboard assembly comprising a generally planar elongated board (12), a plurality of roller sets (21, 22), each roller set have a plurality of rollers (34), wherein each roller set is fixedly coupled to an underside of the board to form a line of roller sets to allow the board to move backward and forward along a longitudinal axis of the aligned roller sets.

Regarding claim 2, Cook discloses the rollers of each roller set, which are aligned to form a single row of rollers.

Application/Control Number: 10/645,464

Art Unit: 3618

Regarding claim 3, Cook discloses the longitudinal axis of the aligned roller sets, which is vertically aligned with a longitudinal axis passing through a center of the board when the roller sets are in a vertical position.

Regarding claim 10, Cook discloses the roller sets, which has a cumulative total of eight (col. 3, lines 21-26).

Regarding claim 11, Cook discloses each of the roller sets, which is constructed of polyurethane.

Regarding claim 12, Cook discloses each of the roller sets including an internal set of ball bearings (col. 3, lines 31-36).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cook (6,270,096) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Yi (5,660,401).

Cook discloses the inline skateboard, but fails to show a brake member.

Yi in figures 5-6, teaches an inline skateboard having a brake member (57), which is positioned adjacent to one of a roller (33). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the inline

· Application/Control Number: 10/645,464

Art Unit: 3618

skateboard of Cook with the addition of a brake member as taught by Yi in order to stop or slow the Inline skateboard motion as circumstances may require on steep slopes.

Regarding claim 5, Yi discloses the board having upwardly turned ends.

Regarding claim 6, Yi discloses the board having a length of about 31 inches and a width about 8 inches (col. 4, lines 1-5, the platform is sufficient for a person to stand with both feet on the platform).

Regarding claim 7, Yi discloses the roller (33), which is about three-quarter inches in diameter and a clearance between the roller and the board is about 1 inch. Therefore, a height to position the board approximately 4 inches above a supporting surface.

Regarding claim 8, Yi discloses the board, which is substantially octagonal.

6. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cook (6,270,096) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Hanson (6,386,561).

Cook discloses the inline skateboard, but fails to show the board, which is constructed of a material chosen from the group of material consisting of wood, fiberglass and plastic.

Hanson in figures 1-2, teaches a skateboard comprising a board deck (100), which is constructed of a material chosen from the group of material consisting of wood, fiberglass and plastic. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the inline skateboard of Cook with the skateboard comprising a board deck, which is constructed of a material chosen from the

Application/Control Number: 10/645,464

Art Unit: 3618

group of material consisting of wood, fiberglass and plastic as taught by Hanson in order to reduce weight and durable for the skateboard in the modem day skateboarder.

7. Claims 1-3, 10-12 are alternatively rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Namiki (6,428,022) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Hegna (4,382,605).

Namiki in figures 1-3, discloses an inline skateboard assembly comprising a generally planar elongated board (10), a plurality of roller sets (12, 14), each roller set have rollers (18, 20), wherein each roller set is fixedly coupled to an underside of the board to form a line of roller sets to allow the board to move backward and forward along a longitudinal axis of the aligned roller sets. Namiki fails to show each roller set having a plurality of rollers.

Hegna in figures 1-2, teaches a tilt steering tandem wheeled, which can be used as a skateboard comprising a plurality of roller sets (8), each roller set having a plurality of rollers (7). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the inline skateboard of Namiki with the tilt steering tandem wheel having a plurality of roller sets as taught by Hegna in order to keep a variety of the rollers not prone to jerks of directional changes when one roller hits an unevenness in the road.

Regarding claim 2, Hegna discloses the rollers of each roller set, which are aligned to form a single row of rollers.

Application/Control Number: 10/645,464

Art Unit: 3618

Regarding claim 3, Hegna discloses the longitudinal axis of the aligned roller sets, which is vertically aligned with a longitudinal axis passing through a center of the board when the roller sets are in a vertical position.

Regarding claim 10, Hegna discloses the roller sets, which can be had a cumulative total of eight.

Regarding claim 11, Hegna discloses each of the roller sets, which is constructed of polyurethane.

Regarding claim 12, Hegna discloses each of the roller sets including an internal set of ball bearings (as shown in figure 1).

8. Claims 4-8 are alternatively rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Namiki (6,428,022) in view of Hegna as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Yi (5,660,401).

The combination of Namiki and Hegna disclose the inline skateboard, but fail to show a brake member.

Yi in figures 5-6, teaches an inline skateboard having a brake member (57), which is positioned adjacent to one of a roller (33). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the inline skateboard of Namiki in view of Hegna with the addition of a brake member as taught by Yi in order to stop or slow the inline skateboard motion as circumstances may require on steep slopes.

Regarding claim 5, Yi discloses the board having upwardly turned ends.

· Application/Control Number: 10/645,464

Art Unit: 3618

Regarding claim 6, Yi discloses the board having a length of about 31 Inches and a width about 8 inches (col. 4, lines 1-5, the platform is sufficient for a person to stand with both feet on the platform).

Regarding claim 7, Yi discloses the roller (33), which is about three-quarter inches in diameter and a clearance between the roller and the board is about 1 inch. Therefore, a height to position the board approximately 4 inches above a supporting surface.

Regarding claim 8, Yi discloses the board, which is substantially octagonal.

9. Claim 9 is alternatively rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Namiki (6,428,022) in view of Hegna as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Hanson (6,386,561).

The combination fo Namiki and Hagna disclose the inline skateboard, but fail to show the board, which is constructed of a material chosen from the group of material consisting of wood, fiberglass and plastic.

Hanson in figures 1-2, teaches a skateboard comprising a board deck (100), which is constructed of a material chosen from the group of material consisting of wood, fiberglass and plastic. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the inline skateboard of Namiki in view of Hegna with the skateboard comprising a board deck, which is constructed of a material chosen from the group of material consisting of wood, fiberglass and plastic as taught by Hanson in order to reduce weight and durable for the skateboard in the modem day skateboarder.

Art Unit: 3618

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Buscaglia discloses a turning mechanism for tandem wheeled vehicles, Giannini discloses an in-line skate with spring centering wheels, McCleese discloses a narrow profile truck, Zinanti discloses an omni-direction wheel for skateboard.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hau V Phan whose telephone number is 703-308-2084. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30AM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christ Ellis can be reached on 703-308-2560. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hau V Phan Examiner Art Unit 361

, HAUPHAN PATENT EXAMINER

Hauphon 9/3/04

Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. 10/645,464 Examiner Hau V Phan Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination WAKLEY, ROBERT Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification	
	A US-4,382,605		05-1983	Hegna, Hans O.	280/11.225	
	8	US-5,660,401	08-1997	Yi, Young K.	280/11.225	
	С	US-6,196,558	03-2001	Simon, Basil W.	280/14.22	
	D	US-6,193,249	02-2001	Buscaglia, Salvatore	280/87.042	
\sqcap	E	US-6,386,561	05-2002	Hanson, Rolf R.	280/87.042	
	F	US-6,409,184	06-2002	Giannini, Ambrogio	280/11.233	
I	G	US-6,428,022	08-2002	Namiki, Yoshi	280/87.042	
	н	US-6,431,568	08-2002	McCleese, Eddie S.	280/87.042	
	1	US-6,508,335	01-2003	Zinanti, Michael David	280/843	
	J	US-6,435,529	08-2002	Stewart et al.	280/87.041	
	К	US-6,616,583	09-2003	Stack, Louis	482/146	
	L	US-				
	м	US-				

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	z				•	
	0					
	Р					
	Q			_		
	R					
	S					
	Ť					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

	Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
U	
٧	
w	·
x	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)
Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-892 (Rev. 01-2001)

Notice of References Cited

Part of Paper No. 20040903

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

August 21, 2003 -

Application Number:

Filing Date:

First Named Inventor:

ROBERT WAKLEY

Group Art Unit:

Examiner Name:

Attorney Docket Number:

23-0282

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner	Cite	U.S. Patent DocumentName of Patentee or Applicant Date of Publication of Pages, Columns, Lines, Where			
Initials	No.	Number of Cited Documents	Cited Documents	Relevant Passages or Figures	
48	1	5,419,570	Bollotte	May 30, 1995	
thi	2	5,601,299	Yun et al.	February 11, 1997	
HP	3	6,270,096	Cook	August 07, 2001	

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner	Cite	U.S. Patent DocumentN	ame of Patentee or Applicant	Date of Publication of Pages, Columns, Lin	nes, Where
Initials	No.	Office Number	of Cited Docum	ents Cited Documents	Relevant Passages or Figures

NONE

OTHER PRIOR ART - NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS

Examiner	Cite	include name of the author, title of the article, title of the item, date, page(s),	
L	CIL	mende imite of the addition, that of the added, that of the ferry dam, page(7),	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Initials	No.	volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	3
111111111111111111111111111111111111111	110.	voiding-issue member(s), patrisher, thy and country where patrished.	

NONE

Examiner Signature: HAU PHAN Date Considered: 9/3/04

Sheet 1 of 1